



NEWS Release

December 10, 2025

HUDSON'S BAY – that's a wrap

On March 7, 2025, Hudson's Bay Company ("HBC"), Canada's oldest corporation and storied department store, commenced creditor protection proceedings under the *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act* (the "CCAA"). As part of the CCAA process, HBC sought to monetize its leasehold interests in about 100 retail locations across the country.

HBC's retail leases held considerable value. They generally locked-in rent for years at low per square foot rates, often with capped or fixed additional rents. Many of the leases also granted HBC renewal options that could extend the term for decades (in some cases, as long as a century). Furthermore, the leases tended to afford HBC considerable control over the shopping centres of which they formed a part. Restrictive covenants, no-builds, consent rights over redevelopment or changes in the merchandising mix, etc., imposed significant constraints on landlords that sought to manage and develop their centres. These controls carried commercial value for HBC in its dealings with landlords.

While the rights under a lease may provide a tenant with value, in order to maintain those rights, a tenant must satisfy its ongoing lease obligations. For HBC, that meant maintaining and repairing its relatively large premises, paying the rent, and in some cases, operating a department store (or refraining from using the premises for a purpose other than the operation of a department store).

The burden of meeting these lease obligations kept many potential tenants from bidding on the HBC leases. Of the roughly 100 leases available to potential purchasers (i.e., new tenant assignees), only 39 leases

received bids, from a total of 12 parties. The other 60 or so retail leases were disclaimed (i.e., cancelled and the premises returned to their landlords, with no payment to or from the tenant) – except the lease at Yorkdale Shopping Centre in Toronto, which remains entangled in the HBC – RioCan JV receivership proceedings.

Of the 39 leases that received bids, five were assigned to YM Inc. (owner of the retail brand "Bluenotes") and one lease was bought back by its landlord, Ivanhoe Cambridge.

Proclaimed billionaire businesswoman, Ruby Liu, sought to take an assignment of 28 of HBC's leases, including several of the most prominent retail locations. Of these 28, the assignment of three leases in British Columbia was uncontested. This was not surprising, as Ruby Liu holds a controlling interest in the landlords that owned those three shopping centres. The assignment of the other 25 retail leases was vigorously opposed by the landlords. HBC brought a motion asking the Court to force the landlords to accept the assignment of the 25 contested leases. The landlords, including Cadillac Fairview, Morguard, Oxford, KingSett, QuadReal, and Ivanhoe Cambridge, opposed the motion.

On October 24, 2025, Justice Osborne released his decision, where he canvassed section 11.3 of the CCAA to assess whether it would be proper to force the landlords into a lease relationship with Ruby Liu.

The CCAA gives the Court considerable discretion to decide the matter. Among the factors to be considered are: (1) whether the Monitor (i.e., the "eyes and ears of the court" during the CCAA process) approves of the assignment; (2) whether the proposed assignee would be able to perform all the obligations of the tenant under the leases; and (3) whether the Court considers the assignment to be appropriate.

Remarkably, the Monitor did not support the assignment of leases. This was a significant factor for the Court.

The Court also found that HBC and Ruby Liu failed to demonstrate that the new proposed tenant would be able to meet the ongoing obligations under the leases. It was not sufficient to demonstrate that adequate financial resources were available (though, even that fact was not entirely accepted by the Court). The Court concluded that the evidence fell “well short” of demonstrating that the proposed assignee could satisfy the operational requirements of running a department store.

The Court held that it would be inappropriate to force a group of landlords into a long-term relationship with a tenant that they did not accept simply to generate sale proceeds from the lease assignment that would be used to pay one of HBC’s secured creditors. In the Court’s view, there was no compelling reason that the interests of a secured creditor ought to be prioritized over the interests of the landlords. The Court noted that HBC was using the CCAA for liquidation purposes. It is not clear whether the Court would have come to same conclusion had the CCAA proceedings been used for restructuring, with the ultimate goal of having the tenant emerge as a viable going concern.

If this were a restructuring CCAA, the landlords’ interests would not be pitted solely against those of a secured creditor

(as was the case here), but rather against all parties that stood to gain from a resuscitated version of the tenant’s business, which may include employees, suppliers, secured parties and others. Following the Court’s refusal to force the assignment, all 25 leases were disclaimed, effective November 27, 2025.

Some have said that for the past several years, HBC was more of a real estate company than a department store. Its vast real estate holdings, including in some of Canada’s most prominent retail nodes, gave HBC considerable real estate value. However, when one’s real estate empire is leasehold, maintaining the value requires the ongoing satisfaction of obligations under the leases. As the department store holding up the real estate empire was failing, stores turned dilapidated, staff levels dwindled, and rent was late. Eventually, HBC could no longer sustain its lease obligations and the real estate empire collapsed.

In 1668, HBC opened its first trading post on the shores of James Bay. The company managed to keep the lights on by adapting to changing times for over 357 years. That era has come to an end. The distinctive trademarked HBC stripes were purchased by Canadian Tire. The royal charter is slated to be purchased through a joint bid by the Weston and Thompson families, and donated to a group of four Canadian museums.

Hudson’s Bay ... that’s a wrap.

This publication is a general discussion of certain legal and related developments and should not be relied upon as legal advice. If you require legal advice, we would be pleased to discuss the issues in this publication with you, in the context of your particular circumstances.



Our secret for closing files lies as much in what is taken out as in what is put in. By eliminating exorbitant expenses and excess time, by shortening the process through practical application of our knowledge, and by efficiently working to implement the best course of action, we keep our clients' needs foremost in our minds. There is beauty in simplicity. We avoid clutter and invest in results.

Often a deal will change complexion in mid-stage. At this critical juncture, you will find us responsive, flexible and able to adjust to the changing situation very quickly and creatively. We turn a problem into an opportunity. That is because we are business minded lawyers who move deals forward.

The energy our lawyers invest in the deal is palpable; it makes our clients' experience of the law invigorating.

RAQUEL ALEMAN
416-598-7054
raleman@dv-law.com

DYLAN ARMSTRONG
416-597-5742
darmstrong@dv-law.com

MARY ANN BADON
416-598-7056
mbardon@dv-law.com

FRANCINE BAKER-SIGAL
416-597-8755
francine@dv-law.com

DYLAN BAKER
416-597-8668
dbaker@dv-law.com

LATISHA COHEN
416-301-9119
lcohen@dv-law.com

CANDACE COOPER
416-597-8578
ccooper@dv-law.com

DENNIS DAOUST
416-597-9339
ddaoust@dv-law.com

ALLISON FEHRMAN
416-304-9070
afehrman@dv-law.com

GASPER GALATI
416-598-7050
ggalati@dv-law.com

PAUL HANCOCK
416-597-6824
phancock@dv-law.com

WOLFGANG KAUFMANN
416-597-3952
wolfgang@dv-law.com

LYNN LARMAN
416-598-7058
llarman@dv-law.com

SOPHIE LEWYCKY
416-597-1547
slewycky@dv-law.com

MELISSA M. MCBAIN
416-598-7038
mmcbain@dv-law.com

IAN MICHALEK
416-597-3986
imichalek@dv-law.com

PORTIA PANG
416-597-9384
ppang@dv-law.com

JAMIE PAQUIN
416-598-7059
ipaquin@dv-law.com

BRIAN PARKER
416-591-3036
bparker@dv-law.com

DINA PEAT
416-598-7055
dpeat@dv-law.com

PANIZ RAHDARI
416-479-4357
prahdari@dv-law.com

CHRISTINE SHAHVERDIAN
416-598-7049
cshahverdian@dv-law.com

SARAH TEMOR
416-597-6647
stemor@dv-law.com

SHARIE THOMPSON
416-597-6648
sthompson@dv-law.com

RENE G. VARGAS
416-597-0830
rgvargas@dv-law.com

DEBORAH WATKINS
416-598-7042
dwatkins@dv-law.com